```
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1351100-0
Total Deleted Page(s) = 67
Page 11 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 12 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 13 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 14 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 34 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 35 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 36 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 37 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 39 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 40 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 41 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 42 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 43 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 44 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 50 ~ Duplicate;
Page 51 ~ Duplicate;
Page 52 ~ Duplicate;
Page 53 ~ Duplicate;
Page 54 ~ Duplicate;
Page 55 ~ Duplicate;
Page 56 ~ Duplicate;
Page 57 ~ Duplicate;
Page 58 ~ Duplicate;
Page 59 ~ Duplicate;
Page 60 ~ Duplicate;
Page 61 ~ Duplicate;
Page 62 ~ Duplicate;
Page 63 ~ Duplicate;
Page 66 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 67 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 70 ~ Duplicate;
Page 71 ~ Duplicate;
Page 72 ~ Duplicate;
Page 73 ~ Duplicate;
Page 74 ~ Duplicate;
Page 75 ~ Duplicate;
Page 76 ~ Duplicate;
Page 77 ~ Duplicate;
Page 78 ~ Duplicate;
Page 79 ~ Duplicate;
Page 80 ~ Duplicate;
Page 81 ~ Duplicate;
Page 82 ~ Duplicate;
Page 83 ~ Duplicate;
Page 84 ~ Duplicate;
Page 87 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 88 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 90 ~ Duplicate;
```

Airtel

9/4/79

To:

SAC, Cleveland (58-267)

From: Director, FBI (62-117853)

1 - Mr. Keenan

CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

EASTERN DIVISION

ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

00: CV

Enclosed herewith are two copies of a self-explanatory Departmental memorandum in this matter dated 8/14/79, advising of the Department's decision not to pursue this matter any further.

Accordingly, you may close your file upon receipt of this communication.

Enclosures 2

GHK:lrw/(4)

SEP 4 1979 FBI FBI

Assoc. Dir. __ Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. __ Asst. Dir.: Adm. Servs. Crim: tov. ldent. _ intell. Laboratory . Legal Coun. Plan. & Insp. . Rec. Mgnt. . Tech. Servs. Training . Public Affs. Off, Telephone Rm.

IL ROOM (1070)

17 057 6 1979

47.

. . .

TEX

FBI/DOJ

Dep. AD Adm. Dep. AD Inva CV 0974 3200239Z Asst. Dir.: Adm. Se Crim. In RR HQ Fin. & Per 9 47 111 7 Ident DE CV Intell Laboratory. R 150239Z NOV 77 Legal Coun. Plan. & Insp. COMMUNICATIONS SECT Rec. Mgnt... FM CLEVEL AND /(58-267) P Spec. Inv. Tech. Servs. ROUTINE TO DIRECTOR/ Training-Public Affs. Off. Telephone Rm. ष्ठा Director's Sec'y. **QLEAF** GOVERNOR JAMES RHODES, STATE OF OHIO; CHIEF JUDGE FRANK BATTISTI, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO, EASTERN DIVISION; ADMIN. INQUIR BRIBERY: 00: CLEVELAND. INFORMATION BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF CLEVELAND BY CLEVEL AND ATTORNEY CREDE CALHOUN THAT A POSSIBLE BRIBERY ARRANGEMENT WAS SET UP BETWEEN OHIO GOVERNOR JAMES RHODES AND CHIEF JUDGE FRANK BATTISTI IN THAT RHODES HAS APPOINTED LILLIAN BATTISTI, SISTER OF JUDGE BATTISTI, TO THE POST OF CLEVELAND REGIONAL ORDER OF REVIEW, JUDICIAL APPELLATE BOARD, b6 b7C LILLIAN BATTISTI IS ALLEGEDLY WORKMAN'S COMPENSATION DIVISION. UNQUALIFIED FOR THE POSITION AND HAS BEEN PARTIALLY SUPPORTED AT THE TIME OF THE APPOINTMENT BY JUDGE BATTISTI FOR SOME TIME. DE-67 / Y-6 / 2 ST-116 REC-6 NOV 29 1977 Juquiry trend Aft + LHM.

LILLIAN BATTISTI WAS EMPLOYED BY A FINANCE COMPANY LOCATED IN FLORIDA.

CLEAR

CONTACTS WITH KEY RHODES OFFICIALS IN COLUMBUS BY CALHOUN INDICATE RHODES DID NOT FOLLOW NORMAL PROCEDURES FOR MAKING SUCH AN APPOINTMENT IN THAT HIS ASSISTANT, TOM MOYER, WHO IS IN CHARGE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES FOR OHIO, WAS UNAWARE OF THE DETAILS OF THE APPOINTMENT, WHICH WAS HANDLED BY GOVERNOR RHODES HIMSELF. ACCORDING TO CALHOUN, MOYER SHOULD HAVE BEEN MADE AWARE OF ALL SUCH APPOINTMENTS.

ACCORDING TO THE CLEVELAND STRIKE FORCE, JUDGE BATTISTI
PRESIDED AT THE KENT STATE TRIAL INVOLVING GOVERNOR RHODES IN
WHICH RHODES WAS ACQUITTED IN 1976. THIS SAME MATTER HAS BEEN
RECENTLY REOPENED BY THE U.S. SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
EXPOSING GOVERNOR RHODES TO CIVIL LIABILITIES.

CALHOUN ADVISED HE INTENDS TO CONTACT VARIOUS INDIVIDUALS CONCERNING THIS MATTER, INCLUDING LILLIAN BATTISTI, ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1977, AND WILL RECONTACT THE CLEVELAND FBI AT THAT TIME. ALSO, ACCORDING TO CALHOUN,

	FBI	AT	THAT	TI	ME.	AL S	50,	A CC	CORI	DING	TO	CAL	HOU	N,				
I																		
											,							I
١					AD V	ISED	TH	AT 1	{E	CO UL.	D E	IND	NO	BAC	(GRO	U NO	IN	FO.
	00 N1	וסזי	NING	TW							ĺ							
	W IN C) L []	1 T 140	1 111	لل سا	FFIM	T DI	41 11	. בי	r Mi	, 01	141 1.15	14.7	Pr (VD	1115	OUTIL	. 10	LIND

4.74

CV 58-267

PAGE THREE

CLEAR

NO APPARENT REASON FOR THE APPOINTMENT.

FACTS HAVE BEEN RELAYED TO THE CLEVELAND STRIKE FORCE,

SPECIFIC ATTENTION OF ________, WHO HAS

REQUESTED THE MATTER BE LOOKED INTO FOR ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION.

CLEVELAND WILL AWAIT RECONTACT BY CALHOUN ON NOVEMBER 17, 1977

CR NOVEMBER 18, 1977, AND WILL IMMEDIATELY ADVISE BUREAU OF

RESULTS ON LHM.

BI

HOLD

FD-36 (R	ev. 2-4-74)	
	7	F B
Tor.		Date: 11-18-77
Transmit	the following in	(Type in plaintext or code)
Via	AIRTEL	
·,		(Precedence)
	TO:	DIRECTOR, FBI
	FROM:	SAC, CLEVELAND (58-267) (P)
7	RE:	"CHANGED" CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI
me	V.	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION; ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY OO: Cleveland Battisti
1200	RHODES, Stive class	Title is marked changed to drop Governor JAMES tate of Ohio, from the title and change investigation from POSSIBLE BRIBERY to an ADMINISTRATIVE
	KEENAN on 11-15-77.	Re telephone call from Bureau Supervisor GEORGE 11-16-77; and Cleveland teletype to the Bureau,
	of possibl	Enclosed for the Bureau is the original and three a letterhead memorandum setting forth allegations le improprieties involving a relationship of Ohio JAMES RHODES and Chief Judge FRANK J. BATTISTI.
	involving	Information set forth in referenced teletype condege BATTISTI presiding over the Kent State trial Governor RHODES was found to be in error. Judge
	reassigned	did initially receive the case, but subsequently it to Judge DON J. WOUNG in Toledo, Ohio.
	Z - Clevel	
	FHG:sic (4)	2-AAC Crim, by 0-6B,
		(1-tickler)

b6 b7C

Geo: 1975 o -590, 992

File Agent in Charge

It should be noted that the source of information set forth in attached LHM, CREDE CALHOUN, has supplied information which was brought to his attention by numerous individuals. At the time of this airtel no efforts have been made to interview the individuals identified by CALHOUN as his sources; therefore, CALHOUN's information has not been corroborated.

However, CALHOUN advised Bureau Agents of a case that has been brought against him by the Disciplinary Council of the Supreme Court of Ohio concerning a letter written by CALHOUN to the "Plain Dealer" in 1976. CALHOUN stated that he does not know the name of the individual bringing suit against him, nor does he know the representative on the Disciplinary Council who is handling the suit. CALHOUN stated that he has requested the identity of such individuals from the Disciplinary Council, but has been refused. CALHOUN stated that he testified before the Controlling Board of Ohio in Columbus, which consisted of House and Senate members, concerning an Emergency Appropriation Board which was requesting \$500,000 to be appropriated for investigators to come to Cleveland to investigate Workmen's Compensation fraud involving fictitious companies and fictitious claims being levied against the Workmen's Compensation Fund.

CALHOUN stated that the financial reports prepared by Woodward and Fondillar, Certified Public Accountants, out of New York City, reports of Booz Allen Actuarial Management Specialists of California, and reports prepared by Ernst and Ernst of Ohio indicated that a one billion dollar deficit existed in the Workmen's Compensation Fund in the State of Ohio. This information was brought to the attention of Governor RHODES for disclosure; however, the matter was concealed and covered up. CALHOUN stated that his letter to the "Plain Dealer" in October of 1976 set forth the condition of the Workmen's Compensation Fund and that he requested the "Plain Dealer" print the letter. He was told by the "Plain Dealer" that the letter would not be printed, at which time CALHOUN stated that he made the information public in a speech given by him at the City Club in Cleveland, Ohio, and that this speech apparently was the basis for the suit brought against him by the Disciplinary Council of the Supreme Court.

CALHOUN stated that approximately four or five months subsequent to his disclosure at the City Club in Cleveland, reports of widespread Workmen's Compensation fraud were printed all over the newspapers.

It should be noted that the widespread coverage of the Workmen's Compensation fraud mentioned by CALHOUN did, in fact, take place in the Cleveland newspapers during the first several months of 1977, which resulted in over 200 indictments. CALHOUN has also been able to back up his claims of fund deficits and subsequent concealments from documentation in his possession concerning audit reports and auditor contracts which specifically restricted the auditor's examination by withholding pertinent statistical data from the auditors.

The Bureau is requested to advise Cleveland of the investigative authority to be granted in regards to the above matter.



In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Cleveland, Ohio

November 18, 1977

CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

On November 15, 1977, CREDE C. CALHOUN advised that a possible impropriety has occurred involving a relationship between Ohio Governor JAMES RHODES and Chief Judge FRANK J. BATTISTI in that Governor RHODES has appointed LILLIAN BATTISTI, youngest sister of Judge BATTISTI, to the Judicial Appellate Board of the Cleveland Regional Order of Review, which is part of the Ohio Bureau of Workmen's Compensation.

The position currently held by LILLIAN BATTISTI is one of a three-member board which is responsible for hearing appeals on complaints previously argued before the Workmen's Compensation Board. The other board members consist of GUERIN BUONPANE, Attorney; and

BUONPANE

holds the position of Director of the Board.

CALHOUN advised that LILLIAN BATTISTI was supposedly employed by a finance company in Florida shortly before or at the time of her appointment. He also stated that he has heard that Judge BATTISTI had been assisting in the support of LILLIAN for some time.

Insurers Group, a group of employers represented by LILLIAN BATTISTI in her position, advised CALHOUN that LILLIAN BATTISTI only has a high school education and has worked briefly in the past in the Federal Probate Court in Youngstown, Ohio, and in Judge BATTISTI's law firm. LILLIAN claimed to have a legal background established through work performed in her brother's law firm and not from her employment in Youngstown.

the 11/1/253 -2

Enotosion

RE: CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION
ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

CALHOUN advised that he confronted LILLIAN BATTISTI very briefly on November 17, 1977, concerning her qualifications to hold a position on the Judicial Appellate Board. LILLIAN BATTISTI advised CALHOUN that her background information is on an application in Governor RHODES' office and that she did not have to answer any of his questions.

THOMAS MOYER, Administrative Assistant to Governor RHODES in charge of Ohio's administrative agencies, advised CALHOUN that he was unaware of any of the details surrounding the LILLIAN BATTISTI appointment which was handled by Governor RHODES himself. According to CALHOUN, MOYER should have been made aware of such an appointment and it was very unusual that both MOYER and MARTIN were unaware of the details of the appointment.

that he could find no background information concerning the LILLIAN BATTISTI appointment and that he could find no apparent reason for the appointment.

2

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY According to CALHOUN, LILLIAN BATTISTI will be replacing on the Judicial Appellate Board. received his appointment to the Board in 1972 from former Ohio Governor JOHN GILLIGAN. At the time received his appointment he was Cleveland, Ohio, advised CALHOUN that he had been advised by that was <u>interes</u>ted in the position received by LILLIAN BATTISTI. met with in Columbus. Ohio, one week before the appointment and told would be the next Judicial Appellate Board member in Cleveland. According to CALHOUN, is a straightforward, "no games" type of individual who would not make such a statement as made to unless sure of his facts. Also, according to CALHOUN, another Cleveland attorney, NAT LEFTON, who was interested in the open position, was told by the Cuyahoga County Republican Chairman. ROBERT HUGHES, before LILLIAN BATTISTI received the appointment, that he, LEFTON, need not apply for the position because that job was already taken. GUERIN BUONPANE advised CALHOUN that his salary as Chairman of the Judicial Appellate Board amounts to approximately \$14,000 a year, and that he works full time as such. BUONPANE further advised that draws a salary of approximately \$20,000 a year for a full-time position on the Judicial Appellate Board and that LILLIAN BATTISTI is currently drawing a salary of \$23,000 a year.

b6

b7C

RE:

CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI

Force, has been advised of the above facts and has requested

additional investigation into the matter.

of the Cleveland Strike



12-30-77

1 -

b6 b7C

رال

To:

SAC, Cleveland (58-267)

From: Director, FBI

CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION; ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY OO: Cleveland

Re Cleveland airtel dated 11-18-77.

TELETYPE UNIT

Enclosed is one copy of a letter from the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, dated 12-27-77, for information.

Enc.

ist 109 REC-45 62-117853-4

11 JAN 3 1978

Assoc, Dir.

Dep. AD Adm.
Dep. AD Inv.

Asst. Dir.:

Adm. Serv.

Crim. Inv.

Fin. & Pers.
Ident.

Intell.

Laboratory

Legal Coun.

Plan. & Insp.

Rec. Mgnt.

Spec. Inv.

Tech. Servs.

Training

Public Affs. Off.

FD-36 (Rev. 7-2	27-76)		ļ				
_ 3			BI		•	* ~ _	
TRANSMIT	•	* PRECEDENCE:	CLASS	SIFICATION:	į		
☐ Têlety		☐ Immediate	<u> </u>	PSECRET	1		
Facsim	iile	Priority	□ -SE	CRET-	!		
X Airtel		☐ Routine	C0	NFIDENTIAL			:
				T 0 . .	į		
				EAR	į		
F			Dat	m e = 1/18/78	· 		
T	ro: DIRI	ECTOR, FBI (62	-117853)				
F	FROM: FAC	, CLEVELAND (5	8-267) (P)				
F	JAM Gove	NGED RHODES, ernor, State of BERY; RICO	f Ohio	its			
n, de	7	CLEVELAND					
	<u> hief Judge</u> Fl astern Divis	le marked chan RANK BATTISTI, ion and to add te of Ohio, an	Northern the subje	District of ct JAMES A.	Ohio. RHODES.	3	
, G	EORGE KEENAN	Cleveland telc, 1/3/78, and	all to Bur Bureau air	eau Supervis tel to Cleve	or Cland,		
\ a	s a second v gainst Govern he Detroit, (tioned matter iolation neces nor JAMES A. R Cincinnati and arough source	sary to con HODES. Pr Cleveland	mplete a RIC ior investig offices est	O charge ation by ablished		
I N	involved in the at the at the lorthern Ohio of limitations	ne commutation ne behest of J , a matter whi s. The curren	of the ser AMES T. LIC ch predates t matter in	ntence of CAVOLI, LCN s a 5-year s nvolves a de	boss of tatute frauding		b6 b70
V C	of the citizer virtue of brik	ns of Ohio of pery in connec	good and fa	aithful serv	rices by		
	ILLIAN BATTIS	STI, sister of	Chilef Juda	ge FRANK J.	BATTISTI.		
t	to the post of tion Division	f Judicial App in Cleveland,	Ohio.	d, Workmen's	Compensa- //7153 - 2	5	
4	- Bureau - Cleveland	Cot to AT	, (V y-2"	7 JAN 9	1978		
	HG: tmw			• •			
	6)	1070	.		And z		
Approved:	- 581 FR 6	1978 Transmitted	(Number)	(Time)	'en T	_	

The matter has been discussed with the Cleveland and Strike Force and both have expressed their desire for the matter to be pursued to a logical conclusion. The Strike Force requested to be advised of each and every step of the investigation and have advised that prosecution would be authorized should sufficient evidence be accumulated to substantiate a charge.

ADMINISTRATIVE
Chief Judge FRANK J. BATTISTI has been of particular interest to the Cleveland Division and the Cleveland Strike Force due to his alleged involvement in a bribery matter encompassing the and Federal bank fraud trial in February, 1977. and were tried before Judge NICHOLAS J. MANOS. Information supplied to the Cleveland Strike Force indicated that several days prior to the acquittal of in that trial. Cleveland attorney civil attorney for and advised an Internal Revenue Agent that a deal had been made with FRANK J. BATTISTI at the Theatrical Restaurant in Cleveland, Ohio, in that BATTISTI would control the case and would direct Judge MANOS to render judgments of acquittal for and
Newark
file number and Cleveland file number advised Newark Agents that during a conversation between
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
himself and advised him that: he was found guilty of conspiracy in the Northern Ohio
Bank case and that it was ridiculous because the judge
returned a directed verdict of acquittal to the co-defendant.
It was ridiculous because how can you have a conspiracy
with one guy also stated to The judge
with one guy also stated to The judge
with one guy. also stated to The judge was supposed to give me the same thing but at the last
with one guy also stated to The judge

conve	ersati	ions wi	ith			he c	laimed	that	judges
ha <u>ve</u>	been	<u>t</u> aken	care	of	in	cases	which	were	related
to									

b6 b7C

Also, an extra copy of this communication has been furnished to the Bureau per the request of Bureau Supervisor GEORGE KEENAN in order that appropriate dissemination to the U.S. Department of Justice, Public Integrity Section can be made.

SAC, Cleveland (58-267)

1/24/78

1 - FOF

Director, FBI (62-117853)

JAMES A PRHODES,
GOVERNOR, STATE OF OHIO
ERIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST
OO: CLEVELAND

A

Reurairtel, 1/16/78, and telcal to Bureau, 1/6/78.

In your referenced airtel you state that a RICO charge against Rhodes is based on the commutation of the sentence of ______ and the appointment of Lillian Battisti. Since the former offense is no longer prosecutable due to the running of the statute of limitations and the latter has been reviewed and rejected by the Justice Department, it would appear that a RICO charge against Rhodes based on such offenses would be rather difficult to sustain. The matter involving the sentencing of ______ by Judge Manos is being carried as a separate case and does not appear to relate to Rhodes.

In the referenced telcal, SA Cleveland, advised that there were other allegations or suspected violations by Judge Battisti and Governor Rhodes, and that the Strike Force Chief at Cleveland had requested the FBI to continue this investigation as a Bribery/ Conflict of Interest matter. SA was instructed to submit four copies of an LHM to the Bureau setting forth all known facts and indicating that a Bribery/Conflict of Interest case was being initiated re Rhodes at the request of the Strike Force. SA was instructed further to set out the complete background of all allegations against Battisti in the cover letter or airtel. In response to the above instructions, no additional background information was furnished to justify continuing this case, no LHM was

Assoc Dir. Dep. AD Adm. Dep. AD Inv. _ GHK:msj (4) Asst. Dir.: SEE NOTE PAGE 2 MAILED 17 Adm. Serv. Crim. Inv. -Fin. & Pers Jan 24 1978 Ident. 23 JAN 25 1978 Rec. Mant. _ Spec Inv. -Training_ Public Affs. Off. Telephone Rm. TELETYPE UNIT 🔲

XIX

b6

b7C

FBI/DOJ

1109

b6 b7C

Letter to SAC, Cleveland RE: JAMES A. RHODES

submitted with the requested facts and request by the Strike Force, and, instead, the Bureau was supplied with four copies of reairtel to disseminate.

In view of the above, the Bureau feels that this case should be closed at once. If Cleveland feels differently, submit an LHM as previously instructed with full justification as to why an expired matter and a declined matter should be further pursued.

NOTE: Above referenced Cleveland telcal to Bureau received at FBIHQ by SA George H. Keenan from SA

This matter was originally opened by Cleveland as a bribery case against Chief Judge Battisti and was changed on Bureau instructions to an Administrative Inquiry. The Public Integrity Section reviewed same and recommended that the investigation be terminated, but Cleveland insisted and insured that there was more to the matter than had been reported to the Bureau. Cleveland was thereupon instructed to submit all information in LHM form, but instead submitted referenced airtel containing no new or additional information.

- 2 -

FI)-36 (Rév. 7-27-76)		FBI	.		
	TRANSMIT VIA:	PRECEDENCE:		FICATION:	•	
	Teletype	Immediate	•	SECRET	***	
	Facsimile	Priority		THE T		
	Airtel	Routine		VEIDENT IAL	! !	
	Airei		FF	70	r \$ t	
			CLI	EAR	!	
			Dat	e2/2/78	[[
	To:	pirector, fbi (62			1	
	F'ROM:	Sylfac, cleveland (5	58-267) (P)			
At 1	RE:	JAMES A. RHODES GOVERNOR, STATE O	OF OHIO			
Î.	}	BRIBERY	a			
		RICO OO: CV				
					<i></i>	
	Bureau 1	Re Cleveland telo letter to Cleveland l	call to Burea 1/24/7 %	u Supervisor, 2,	/1/78;	
		For the informati				
	Force	ed matter is to be di		2/2/78 that the		1.0
		ent of Justice in the				b6 b7C
•		decision as to the pr				
		reached at that time in the matter be held		requested any pending the out	come	
		prosecutive decision.			1	
		In view of the ab	oove no furt	her communication	one	
	regardin	ng the matter will be	e sent to the	Bureau until su	ich time	
	as the (Cleveland Strike Ford	ce advises Cl	eveland as to the	ne decision	4
	Justice	between the Clevelar, UACB.	id Strike For	ce and the Depai	rtment or	
	2 - Bure 2 - Clev	eau veland			- ,	
	FHG/cac			_ 117.03-	7	
	(4)	1	REC- 13 2	- / / / ·		
				Company of the Compan		
		EX-1		₩ FEP € 137	8	
				41/		}
			1	N -		
			E Company			b6
		611				b7C
	56 F	E3 7 7 1573				
	Approved:	Transm	itted	Per .		_
			AL HIIDELY	1 2 4 1140 /		

FD-36 (Rev. 7-27-76)			1	
TRANSMIT VIA:	F B PRECEDENCE:	CLASSIFICATION:		,
Teletype	Immediate	TOP SECRET	9	,
Facsimile	Priority	SECRET		,
X Airtel	Routine	GONFIDENTIAL		
		C EFTO		
		CLEAR	1	
		Date3/13/7	18 T	
TO:	pirector, FBI (62-117	7853)	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	.]
FROM: () AF) SAC, CLEVELAND (58-26	57) ((C)		
RE:	JAMES A. RHODES, Governor, State of Or BRIBERY; RICO	nio		
a	00: Cleveland			
	Re Cleveland airtel tairtel to the Bureau, Enclosed for the Bure g 1/19/78 interview of article.	1/18/78.		
definite a of the cap above mate officals a Department to an appropriate possibilithis matter be request	Department of Justice agreement reached regardined matter. Additured the are to occur in the are to be requested by t's initial prosecutive arent lengthy process we decision regarding ty further investigation is being closed. Stated, the matter will administration of the area of th	was made on 3/8/78 varding the prosecutive ional discussions repetitional discussions and the standard due to may not be authoritied repetitional discussions and the respondent at that the REC-79 AMERITYER 2 // 2 MARITYER 2 // 2 MAR	at contact vithout a ve direction egarding the op Justice ate the tter. Due a final to the rized, tigation	ь6 ь7с
Burea 1 - Cleve FHG/ms (3)	u (Enc. 4) ENCLUDINE land 2-AAG 0-6 ly Room 3	50 178 120 178		
Approv 58 APR	Transmitted	(Number) (Time)	Per	

The interview of as set forth in enclosed LHM, took place subsequent to Cleveland airtel to the Bureau dated 1/18/78. At the time of the interview, Cleveland, at the suggestion of the Bureau, was investigating the matter as a substantive bribery matter and as part of a RICO investigation as set forth in the 1/18/78 airtel. The enclosed LHM completes the summary of all investigation by Cleveland regarding the matter. Four copies of the LHM are being sent per the request of the Bureau and dissemination of the LHM is being left to the discretion of the Bureau.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

Cleveland, Ohio

March 13, 1978

JAMES A. RHODES, Governor, State of Ohio

BRIBERY; RACKETEER INFLUENCED & CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS

On January 19, 1978,
Bureau of Workmen's Compensation, Cleveland,
Ohio, was interviewed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation
regarding his knowledge of the LILLIAN BATTISTI appointment
to the Judicial Appellate Board of the Cleveland Regional
Order of Review, which is part of the Workmen's Compensation
Bureau.
advised that he was aware that a position on
the Judicial Appellate Board would become available inasmuch
as the positions on the Board were for six-year terms and the employer's representative position on the Board would
end its term in late 1977. Between April, 1977, and
September, 1977, he talked with several individuals on the
Industrial Commission of Ohio and to ROBERT HUGHES, Cuyahoga
County Republican Chairman, concerning his interest in the
opening. The Industrial Commission is the adjudicative arm
of the Ohio Bureau of Workmen's Compensation.
The Industrial Commission consists of
LEONARD LANCASTER, and
USSAI advised that he was told by the members that they had
no objections to him taking the open position on the Judicial Appellate Board and LANCASTER specifically
advised him that they would like him in the position and
that if Governor JAMES A. RHODES were to have asked them for
a recommendation as to who should fill the position they
would have recommended
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
has considerable political power in the
state and considerable influence with Governor RHODES.
law firm represented Governor RHODES in the Kent



62-111853-8

believes State trial, and personally handled a great deal of legal work. In his talk with ROBERT HUGHES, expressed his interest in the upcoming opening on the Judicial Appellate Board sometime in August or September, 1977. HUGHES told him that another person was to fill the vacancy and that USSAI could not be considered. also told him that of the Workmen's Compensation Bureau, mav be replaced due to some problems in the Bureau and that Imight replace and that this was also a reason would not be considered for the opening on the Judicial Appellate Board. The matter involving the possible replacement of was cleared up two weeks before LILLIAN BATTISTI's appointment, and it was decided would not be removed. asked HUGHES who would be filling the upcoming vacancy of the Judicial Appellate Board, and HUGHES replied that the Governor is the one making the selection and HUGHES refused to divulge the name of the appointee. advised that he has worked for the Bureau of Workmen's Compensation since he graduated from college and has considerable knowledge in the Workmen's Compensation field. Another individual interested in the Judicial Appellate Board opening, NAT LEFTON, also has a very good understanding of Workmen's Compensation. An individual on the Board must have a thorough understanding of the Workmen's Compensation field because incompetence in the field jeopardizes the Workmen's Compensation fund, which has a balance of over \$2 billion. The open position on the Board does not require a legal background; however, the Chairman's position should have a legal background. Of the other two positions on the Board, one representing labor and one representing employers, LILLIAN BATTISTI filled the position

b6

b7C

JAMES A. RHODES,

representing employers.

According to

Governor, State of Ohio

RE:

LILLIAN BATTISTI had absolutely no experience in the Workmen's Compensation field and that she knew nothing about the field at all. Additionally, he has heard that LILLIAN BATTISTI is

the word he has heard is that

RE: JAMES A. RHODES,

Governor, State of Ohio

only a high school graduate. Because of the complex nature of Workmen's Compensation and because of the information that LILLIAN BATTISTI was not qualified for the position, stated he was very disappointed with the appointment. Also, everyone he has talked to about the LILLIAN BATTISTI matter either has no information on the matter or avoids the issue.

b6 b7C

The position filled by LILLIAN BATTISTI should normally have been filled from either recommendations of ROBERT HUGHES or based on Governor RHODES' own choice. The normal procedures would also have required recommendation of Industry in the area due to the fact that the position represents employers on the Board. ROY MARTIN would collate the information for the Governor and would also include a recommendation as to the qualifications of those considered for the position. ______advised he is unaware of any industry recommendations for LILLIAN BATTISTI but that any recommendations made would be in her personnel folder in the State Capitol office.

On January 18, 1978, a newspaper article printed in "The Cleveland Press" indicated that an attorney for Governor RHODES had asked the Supreme Court to revise an Appeals Court ruling which ordered RHODES to be retried in a civil suit stemming from the killing of four Kent State University students by National Guardsmen. The article went on to report the U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals ordered RHODES and other defendants to stand trial again because the trial court in Cleveland failed to "deal properly with extraveous influences on the jury" that had acquitted the defendants.

This decreest contains noither recommendations nor conclusions of the TRI. It is the presently of the TRI and is leaved to your egency; it and its centerits and not to be distributed outside your agency.

2/1/79

SAC, Cleveland (58-267)

Director, FBI (62-117853)

1 - Mr. Jones

ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY OO: CLEVELAND

Englowed for Cleveland are two copies of a letter to the Director, FBI, from Philip B. Heymann, AAG, Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice, dated 1/19/79.

As Cleveland will note, the Department has authorized the interviews of five additional individual relative to captioned matter. Cleveland should also note that the Department has indicated that the investigation should be limited to the interviews of the five individuals named in the enclosure.

Inclosures - 2

TFJ: (4)

EX-121 MEZ-117853-12 EO FEB 2 1979

\$ 03 bH Dec. AD Int. Asst. Dir.: Laboratory Plan. & Insp. Rec. Mgnt. Tech. Servs. Training . Public Affs. Offer Telephone Rm. **70** MAR

FD 66	Rev. 7-27-76)	S . FB	ī		!	
TRA	ANSMIT VIAT	PRECEDENCE:	i	ICATION:	64	
· 🗆 🖥	Teletype	Immediate	TOP	SECRET	<i>1</i> €	
	Facsimile	Priority	SECR	ET		
	Airtel	Routine	-CONI	PIDENTIAL	į	
			_ EF-	r-o-	į	
	AIRTEL		☐ CLEA	2/21/79)	
	TO: PIRECT	OR, FBI (62-117	 853)			7
	FROM: CASAC, C	CLEVELAND (58-26	7) (P)			
7 7	CHIEF JUDGE FRAM NORTHERN DISTRICE EASTERN DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE DOC: Cleveland	CT OF OHIO,				
X	Re Bul	et to Cleveland	, 2/1/79.		(A	
	Enclos self-explanatory	sed for the Bure $^\prime$ LHM.	au are fou	r copies of	a C	1
	The er feels would be a Those individual	aclosed LHM iden able to provide s are:	tifies ind	ividuals wh regarding	no Cleveland this matter.	
			THOMAS MO	YER, Admini to Governo	strative or RHODES	
	A STARTER OF STARTER	the Cot REC. 1				b6 b70
	Clevel	and also feels	that		// 11	150 150
	subpoenaed at th	is time		sho	ould be	ъ3
	auppoemaeu at tr	IIS CIME.	1	Also,		_{n2}
5.7.	2 - Bureau (Enc. 2 - Cleveland ARHG/bms 0749	4)ENCLOSURE Transmitted			Per	
• v.hi	provou, 	Iransmitted	(Number)	(Time)	GPO : 1977 O - 225-538	_

should also be subpoenaed from	
The Bureau is requested to obtain authority to interview the above-named individuals and to obtain authorizati to utilize the Federal Grand Jury, Cleveland, Ohio, to subpoena	on
from	

b3 b6 b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

Cleveland, Ohio

February 21, 1979

CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO, EASTERN DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

	Attached a	sa	part	of	the	lette	chead	d memora	andum
are five	(5) FD-302s	re	flect:	ing	int	erviews	s of	GUERIN	BUONPANE
						LEFTON			

In summary, the information supplied by the abovenamed individuals indicates that LILLIAN BATTISTI received an appointment to the Judicial Appellate Board for a six-year term and that she did not have the statutorily required background for that position.

The suspicions of BUONPANE, and relating to the appointment of LILLIAN BATTISTI were that the appointment made by Governor JAMES A. RHODES was to influence Chief Federal Judge FRANK J. BATTISTI, LILLIAN's brother, in his assignment of the Kent State matter which had been remanded back to the Northern District of Ohio by the U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. | further specified that he suspected that Judge BATTISTI was to delay the assignment of the Kent State matter in order that the trial would be delayed until after the next general election.

A chronological summary of the above matter is as follows:

September 12, 1977

U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed ARTHUR KAUSE, Et Al, v. JAMES A. RHODES, Et Al (Kent State) and remanded the case back to the Northern District of Ohio.

October, 1977

LILLIAN BATTISTI received her appointment to the Judicial Appellate Board.

September 27, 1978

Chief Judge FRANK J. BATTISTI assigned the Kent State matter to Judge THOMAS and placed on the Judge's December, 1978 docket.



ENCLOSURE 62 1/7

RE:

CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO, EASTERN DIVISION; ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

November 7, 1978

General elections held; Governor JAMES A. RHODES

re-elected.

December 4, 1978

The first jurors are called to hear the Kent State retrial.

March 13, 1979

CHILF JUDGE FRAME J. BATTISTI, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ONTO, EASTERN DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

Please find enclosed two copies of a sclfexplanatory memorandum prepared by our Cleveland, Onio, Field Division. Said memorandum is dated February 12, 1979.

As a result of the interviews conducted by Cleveland, Ohio, the results are contained in the above-mentioned memorandum, they are of the opinion that the interview of the following individuals would be able to provide assistance regarding this investigation:

Thomas Noyer, Administrative Assistant to Governor Rhodes

	.EC- 120	62-1110	

Please advise if authorization is given to

Assoc. Dirinterview	the above	e individuals.	
Dep. AD Adm			
Dep. AD Inv.			
Asst. Dir.:			
Adm. Servs.			
Crim. InvEnclosure	s 2		
Ident.			
Intell.	40 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2		
Laboratory Bufile	62-11/853	NACE NOTE PAGE	2
Legal Coun.		18200 182 24	
Plan. & Insp mr. Tandh		The state of the s	
Rec. Mgnt IFU : IIUII	1.		
Tech. Servs (4)	14/	MAR 3 3 3	
Training		I BAR - P G - 1	
Plan. & Insp. TFJ: ndh Rec. Mgnt. TFJ: ndh Tech. Servs. (4) Training Public Affs. Off.			
Telephone Rm.	1-13		

b6 b7C

y. 1

MAR I 1 1979

CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI,

NOTE: Original and one Public Integrity Section, United States Department of Justice, via 0-6 on 3/13/79.

The state of the s		and the second s	·	
FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-78)	FB			
TRANSMIT VIA:	PRECEDENCE: Immediate	CLASSIFICATION	_ !	
Facsimile	☐ Priority ☐ Routine	☐ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIA ☐ UNCLASEF	AL	<i>r</i> .
AIRTEL		UNCLAS Date5/	/8/79	:
TO: D	IRECTOR, FBI (62-1)	17853)		
FROM: CAME	AC, CLEVELAND (58-2	267) (P)		
	IVE INQUIRY	•		
	e Cleveland airtel			
self-explana	atory LHM.	leau are rour cop	nes or a	
FD-302 refl	ncorporated into the cted an interview	ne LHM are six FD of a	0-302s. One	
			Shortly	
RHODES. RHO	SA and addersonal telephone DDES commented to	that he und	had nor JAMES A.	
wanted to ki FBI Agents I the FBI had	peen asking question who it was. and questioned him questioned	advised RHOD RHODES then st and that he wante	DES that two cated he knew	b6
supplied the		ous Resident Agen	Cleveland,	- 10
by Governor	also advised stricted stricted and apparent conducting the Cleveland, of	sed LHM), was als	mes of the	
RHODES reque	eveland is uncerta	names of the FRI	Agents	
2 - Clevelar	g him; however / Sh (Enc. 4) ENCLOSURE	will o - AAG- Crim	debrief his	122

in detail in the next couple of weeks to determine if any intimidation occurred and if RHODES explained the necessity to have the investigating Agents' names.

b6 b7C

Cleveland feels that the investigation has reached a point where a detailed interview of LILLIAN BATTISTI, Chief Judge FRANK J. BATTISTI and ROY MARTIN is necessary at this time. The nature of the investigation itself is known to FRANK and LILLIAN BATTISTI and to Governor RHODES.

The interview of ROY MARTIN is expected to produce the background data which was available to Governor RHODES at the time of the appointment. It is anticipated that the background data, or lack thereof, will in part assist in establishing the allegation that the appointment by RHODES was merely an attempt to curry favor with Judge BATTISTI with regards to the assignment of the Kent State retrial. It is anticipated that the interview of Judge BATTISTI will establish that Judge Battisti and Governor RHODES were not only opposites in political faith, but were personal enemies, establishing that the appointment of LILLIAN BATTISTI was not one of political repayment or of personal friendship. It is anticipated the interview of LILLIAN BATTISTI will also establish this fact.

_	Cleveland	anticipates	a Federal	Grand	Jury	subpoena
for						
		will be nece	essary to	secure		
		•				

b3

The Bureau is requested to obtain authority to interview ROY MARTIN, LILLIAN BATTISTI and Chief Judge FRANK J. BATTISTI and to obtain authority to subpoena the above-described

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

June 4, 1979

CHIEF JUDGE FRANK J. BATTISTI, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO, EASTERN DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

Please find enclosed two copies of a self-explanatory Letterhead Memorandum prepared by our Cleveland, Ohio, Field Division dated 5/8/79.

As a result of the interviews conducted, the results are contained in the above-mentioned memorandum. Cleveland is of the opinion that the investigation has reached a point were a detailed interview of Lillian Battisti, Chief Judge Frank J. Battisti and Roy Martin is necessary at this time. For your information, the nature of the investigation itself is known to both Frank and Lillian Battisti as well as Governor James A. Rhodes.

Cleveland believes the interview of Roy Martin will produce the background data which was available to Governor Rhodes at the time of Lillian Battisti's appointment. It is anticipated that the background data, or lack thereof, will in part assist in establishing the allegation that the appointment by Rhodes was merely an attempt to curry favor with Judge Battisti with regards to the assignment of the Kent State retrial.

The interview of Judge Battisti should establish that Judge Battisti and Governor Rhodes were opposites in political faith, therefore, establishing that the appointment of Lillian Battisti was not one of political repayment. The interview of Lillian Battisti should also establish that fact.

Assoc. Dir. _

Asst. Dir.: Adm. Servs. .

Intell.

Training _

Dep. AD Adm. .____

Dep. AD Inv. __

Crim: Inv. ___ ldent, _

Cleveland anticipates a Federal Grand Jury subpoena for the original application and biographical background sheet of Lillian Battisti will be necessary to secure the records from Roy Marting REC-1386 - 1715

Please advise if authorization is given to interview the above individuals.

Enclosures 2

** JUN 7 1979

4 38 開 198

Original and one PIS, USDJ., via 0-6, 6/4/79.

Laboratory . Legal Coun. Plan. & Insp. _ Rec. Mant. Tech, Servs. Public Affs. Off .-.



In Reply; Please Refer to File No. | 58-267

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Cleveland, Ohio

June 29, 1979

Chief Judge FRANK J. BATTISTI, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY

> b6 b7C

		Attacl	ned	as part of	the	e letterhead memorandum	
are	the	results	of	interviews	of	and LILLIAN	
D V mil	የተረሞ	Т					

62-111835-18

```
Page 91 ~ Duplicate;
Page 92 ~ Duplicate;
Page 93 ~ Duplicate;
Page 94 ~ Duplicate;
Page 95 ~ Duplicate;
Page 96 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 97 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 98 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 99 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 100 ~ Duplicate;
Page 101 ~ Duplicate;
Page 102 ~ Duplicate;
Page 103 ~ Duplicate;
Page 104 ~ Duplicate;
Page 105 ~ Duplicate;
Page 106 ~ Duplicate;
Page 107 ~ Duplicate;
Page 108 ~ Duplicate;
Page 109 ~ Referral/Direct;
```

##